

SRS Citizen's Advisory Board

# SRS Citizens Advisory Board **Meeting Minutes**

## Hilton Oceanfront Hilton Head Island, S.C.

#### January 26-27, 2004

#### Monday, January 26, 2004, Attendance

#### ers

#### **Ex-Officio Members**

Alice Doswell, DOE Dawn Taylor, EPA

SRS CAB Member
Meryl Alalof
Donna Antonucci
Jennifer Barrington
Leon Chavous
Gerald Devitt
Mary Drye
Mel Galin
Perry Holcomb
Bill Lawless
Wendell Lyon

#### **Stakeholders**

**Glynn** Carroll Ranowul Jzar Ed Forrest

**Darryl Nettles** Harold Rahn Dorene Richardson Lola Richardson Murray Riley Jean Sulc **Bill Vogele** Wade Waters **Bill Willoughby** Gloria Williams-Way

William Lawrence

#### **DOE/Contractors**

Becky Craft, DOE Gerri Flemming, DOE Charlie Hansen, DOE Sam Kelly, BNFL Fran Williams, WSRC John Dickenson, WSRC Kelly Way, WSRC Jim Moore, WSRC Sonny Goldston, WSRC David Burke, WSRC Rick McLeod, Board Advisor Joe Carter, WSRC Mike Schoener, Facilitator Lyddie Broussard, WSRC Dawn Haygood, WSRC Paul Sauerborn, WSRC

#### **Special Sessions**

Two special sessions were held Monday, January 26. The first was to discuss CAB Administration. The Board voted to send a letter to Jeff Allison requesting he rescind his letter to Department of Energy (DOE)-Headquarters regarding federal management of the board in light of new guidance provided December 22, 2003, which allows DOE to utilize an 8A small business to administer Board activities. The Board also voted unanimously to form a small working group to work with DOE regarding the transition to an 8A firm and for this ad-hoc committee to report back to the full board in March.

A second session regarding the SRS CAB 2004 Workplan was held. Ms. Alice Doswell, DOE, presented topics for consideration in 2004 (see attachment). The committees then held breakout sessions to determine each committee's area of interest and offer three to four topics for consideration by the full board.

## Waste Management Committee

Mr. Bill Willoughby called the meeting to order. He introduced the first draft recommendation to the committee and asked Sonny Goldston, WSRC, to give the group some background information. Mr. Goldstonexplained the events leading up to this draft motion entitled Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) Permit Modification. In 1999, the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) granted a Hazardous Waste Facility Permit to WIPP for the storage and disposal of TRU mixed waste. In November 2003, NMED issued a public notice of their intent to approve an agency-initiated modification to the Permit. This modification would limit the waste that could be sent to WIPP to a baseline (BIR) that was developed in 1999. This action has major implications for SRS. For example, SRS has received 300 cubic meters of TRU mixed waste from Mound that is not included in the original BIR. In addition, new missions (ex. MOX) would generate TRU waste that would require disposal at WIPP. Finally, any waste generated between 1995-2003 would not be included in this BIR.

Much discussion ensued of the proper protocol concerning which departments, groups, and/or individuals should be sent the recommendation and/or letters. It was decided that Ms. Dawn Haygood would forward the recommendation to NMED with a cover letter to meet the January 30, 2004, deadline. Mr. Willoughby and Mr. Perry Holcomb informed the committees that they had written and sent personal letters to Mr. Zappe. (Mr. Zappe is listed as the contact for the permit modification.) Mr. Willoughby encouraged everyone to send personal letters to Mr. Zappe, as well.

When asked for the justification for the Permit modification, Mr. Holcomb asked to respond. His view is that the decision was political. In addition, he believes that New Mexico is initiating an action to prevent WIPP from receiving High Level Waste in case Yucca Mountain never opens. Mr. Bill Lawless made two additional proposals. The first was to request that DHEC take action, as well. Secondly, he suggested adding a fifth recommendation which would state that DOE-Savannah River Operations Office recalculate its baseline inventory report for TRU waste and re-submit to DOE-Carlsbad and NMED. Changes were noted.

Mr. Willoughby asked Mr. Lawless to explain the Glass Waste Storage Building (GWSB) #2 recommendation. Mr. Lawless explained the situation to the group. The first GSWB is nearing capacity, and a second building is required. Eight years ago, the CAB recommended a review of the design of the building with an independent scientific peer review (ISPR). Mr. Holcomb questioned the need for an ISPR. Mr. Lawless continued by saying that there is no issue with the structure. The CAB now understands that an external review was completed and that suggested changes have indeed been incorporated into the design of Building #2. His concern is however, with the storage building becoming a de facto permanent storage. This motion was written to influence the opening of Yucca Mountain. He added that the comment section has been clarified as well, since the first version of the motion. Mr. Hansen added the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) completed a review and noted no concerns. The committee discussed

changing the recommendation title to, "Glass Waste Storage Building #1 and #2 Long-term Impact".

Mr. Willoughby then introduced and explained the third recommendation, Tank Longevity versus Expected Lifetime, to the Committees. The committees discussed and added two additional recommendations to the original three.

Mr. Willoughby then introduced Dr. Sam Kelly, BNFL, to give an update on the Solid Waste and Infrastructure FY03 Successes and FY04 Challenges. First, he explained the change in the new title from "Solid Waste Division (SWD)" to "Solid Waste & Infrastructure (SWI)", which now includes the old SWD, Infrastructure Maintenance, Site Utilities and Transportation. Dr. Kelly highlighted several of the successes that SWI has experienced in the past year. Dr. Kelly outlined the TRU Waste Ship to WIPP Program. He discussed the original 2001 baseline, which listed disposition of all SRS TRU waste by 2034. The new SRS contract challenges this disposition of TRU by 2006. SWI plans to accomplish this task at a cost of approximately \$306 million, which poses quite a challenge. SWI maintains 24 shipments per month (up from one per month) and depends on SCDHEC's continued support for success.

Another challenge lies in the non-drummed TRU waste. This waste must be prepared for shipment by August 31, 2006. The SWI has researched many initiatives to expedite the disposition of TRU. They have challenged the need for visual exams on specific waste streams, sought relief from certain restrictions, and developed alternate technologies.

SWI has studied possible locations on site for the removal of prohibited TRU waste items. Continued regulator support remains crucial in these challenges, as well. Dr. Kelly then outlined the complicated TRU characterization process for the "Ship to WIPP" waste storage drums. These selected waste drums were mixed among 20 storage pads. These drums were extracted, characterized, then re-stored somewhere else. Storage was only one of the many problems that arose. However, Dr. Kelly pointed out that, even with all these moves, the number of accidents has been zero.

Dr. Kelly outlined the Low Level Waste issues. Because of acceleration of waste disposition, such as D&D, waste disposal volumes have tripled. SWI is using newer, more cost effective waste containers, such as the Sealand containers, for shipment in the burial ground. Also, SWI has registered and constructed a new C&D landfill, which can accept the debris materials from demolition of site buildings for approximately forty years. This landfill saves the site transportation and disposal fee costs to Three Rivers Landfill; an estimated \$3M during FY04-FY06.

Dr. Kelly also told the group the disposition plans for Legacy PUREX. SWI expects to treat the aqueous PUREX in ETF with disposal in Saltstone in spring 04. SWI has agreement from EPA that solidification of organic PUREX is an effective treatment. The proof test program is continuing on schedule.

Dr. Kelly mentioned the paper pellets that are used for fuel at the A-Area Boiler. The CAB has followed this cost savings project closely (recommendation 180). Dr. Kelly outlined the many

transportation services and heavy equipment operations that SWI provides. Closure is increasing the use of BNFL SWI services because they are safer, more efficient, more economical, and more responsive than subcontractors. Dr. Kelly closed by reiterating that SWI has increased headcount and worked 2 million man-hours, still with ZERO lost workday cases.

# **Nuclear Materials Committee**

Mr. Jerry Devitt, Nuclear Materials Committee (NMC) Chair, opened the meeting by extending his personal thanks to WSRC and DOE for a job well done in presenting the F-Canyon workshop earlier this month. He said Phil Breidenbach and his staff did a superb job in providing information to a large number of stakeholders on this important project.

Mr. Devitt advised the committee that the purpose of today's meeting was to discuss the draft recommendation that he would like the CAB to consider at the next day's meeting.

Recommendation – F-Canyon Deactivation & Post Deactivation

Mr. Devitt introduced the draft recommendation as one that was driven by a recent decision by DOE to have WSRC proceed with the deactivation of F-Canyon. He said his committee supports the actions authorized under the F-Canyon Complex Deactivation Project Plan, but wants to encourage DOE to finish the job. Mr. Devitt stated the NMC members believe substantial cost-savings would be achieved if a lengthy surveillance and maintenance (S&M) period after deactivation were avoided. According to Mr. Devitt, the NMC wants F-Canyon to be entombed for its ultimate end-state. The NMC proposes that DOE take steps to authorize this end-state and begin planning for the decommissioning phase now.

He opened the floor for questions and discussion. Several questions about historic preservation considerations for F-Canyon were raised. In response, members were told that F-Canyon was not a facility that could ever be toured by the public; however, efforts to identify artifacts and photographs to meet historic preservation requirements had been incorporated into the planning. In response to a query on the lengthy period for S&M activities, members were told that the actual time frame was indeterminate and that was one of the NMC's primary concerns. Mr. Devitt said that since there was no possible use for this facility, expediting the final end-state would be beneficial to taxpayers.

With no proposed changes to the recommendation, Mr. Devitt asked for other public comments. With no other comment, the meeting was adjourned.

# Facilities Disposition & Site Remediation (FD&SR) Committee

Mr. Perry Holcomb introduced the topics of the FD&SR portion of the meeting as follows: An open discussion regarding the article in the Augusta Chronicle Newspaper concerning Georgia Department of Natural Resources (GDNR) Monitoring along the Savannah River, and a presentation on the FY04 Expected D&D Deployments.

Mr. Holcomb stated that he had talked with Jim Hardeman, GDNR on the telephone regarding tritium monitoring along the Savannah River. Mr. Hardeman remarked that the lack of Georgia monitoring in the Savannah River for tritium was not an issue due to the extensive monitoring

done at the SRS and sample stations along the river. Bill Lawless was concerned about the slant as read in the *Augusta Chronicle* implying that since the DOE-SR had dropped funding for additional monitoring by the state of Georgia, the downstream cities and towns were at a higher risk. Mr. Lawless also stated that he would like to have the SRS Monitoring Program addressed at one of the future FD&SR Committee meetings. Mr. Holcomb agreed and announced that just such a presentation would occur at the next FD&SR Committee meeting at the Aiken Federal Building at 5 p.m. February 10<sup>th</sup>. Mr. Holcomb also stated that he invited Mr. Hardeman to attend that meeting, should questions arise regarding GDNR monitoring of the river.

Mr. Holcomb, asked Jennifer Barrington, an employee of the Beaufort-Jasper Water and Sewer Authority (BJWSA) and member of the CAB, if the Authority had any information regarding the above issue. Ms. Barrington offered a BJWSA Press Release (see attachment) dated December 5, 2003, entitled "Loss of Federal Funds will not affect Drinking Water". Ms. Glynn Carroll, member of public and director of Georgians Against Nuclear Energy (GANE) stated that she was concerned about the effect tritium would have in the Savannah River should the proposed Mixed Oxide Facility (MOX) and Modern Pit Facility (MPF) be built and operated at the SRS.

FY04 Expected D&D Deployments

Ms. Alice Doswell, DOE, stated the purpose of her presentation was to update the Committee on the D&D progress since the October 14, 2003, meeting and identify the expected D&D activities scheduled in FY04. Ms. Doswell noted that the following buildings had been decommissioned since the last meeting:

313-M 677-T 322-M 412-6D

Ms. Doswell identified the following expected D&D deployments for FY04 in the following areas of SRS:

A-Area - 8 facilities
M-Area - 14 facilities (pulling equipment and asbestos from buildings)
F-Area - 28 facilities
E-Area - 2 facilities
T-Area - 3 facilities (1 is removed and the other 2 ready for removal)
D-Area - 34 facilities (note: power house will remain)
C-Area - 2 facilities
R-Area - 3 facilities
N-Area - 0 facilities
P-Area - 2 facilities
L-Area - 0 facilities
K-Area - 3 facilities (K-Area Cooling Tower is the largest facility)

Bill Lawless stated his concern over the displacement of employees as these facilities are being taken down to a slab. Ms. Doswell stated that these employees are being re-deployed in other areas of the SRS.

Mr. Holcomb wrapped up by stating the importance of following the D&D activities closely. Jerry Devitt also stated that although the site footprint may shrink, the site boundaries will remain the same.

#### **Public Comments**

Glynn Carroll, Georgians Against Nuclear Energy (GANE), provided comments noting her group's interest in plutonium at SRS. High Level Waste tanks are another topic of concern. She stated her group thinks that non-moxable plutonium can be merged with the high level waste vitrification program and should at least be considered. She said that the immobilization program needs the breath of life. Ms. Carroll commented on the SRS CAB noting they now have a presence they didn't in earlier years. She also commented on the WIR lawsuit and the historic register, "which may be an agency that can finally boss DOE around."

#### Tuesday, January 27, 2004, Attendance

## SRS CAR Members

SRS CAB Members		<b>Ex-Officio Members</b>
Meryl Alalof	William Lawrence	Alice Doswell, DOE
Donna Antonucci	Darryl Nettles	Dawn Taylor, EPA
Leon Chavous	Harold Rahn	-
Gerald Devitt	Dorene Richardson	
Mary Drye	Lola Richardson	<b>DOE/Contractors</b>
Mel Galin	Murray Riley	Becky Craft, DOE
Perry Holcomb	Jean Sulc	Gerri Flemming, DOE
Bill Lawless	Wade Waters	Charlie Hansen, DOE
Wendell Lyon	Bill Willoughby	Keith Wood, WSRC
	Gloria Williams-Way	Fran Williams, WSRC
		Paul Huber, WSRC
		John Dickenson,WSRC
		Kelly Way, WSRC
	Rick McLeod, Board Advisor	Jim Moore, WSRC
Stakeholders	Mike Schoener, Facilitator	Sonny Goldston, WSRC
Glynn Carroll		David Burke, WSRC
Todd Davis, DNFSB		Joe Carter, WSRC
		Lyddie Broussard, WSRC
		Dawn Haygood, WSRC
		Paul Sauerborn, WSRC

#### **Approval of the Minutes**

The meeting minutes of the November 2003 meeting were approved with no changes.

## **Outgoing Member Recognition**

Alice Doswell presented recognition awards to Wade Waters and Lola Richardson for six years of service to the SRS Citizens Advisory Board.

# **Agency Update**

Alice Doswell, DOE, reflected on CAB involvement in accelerated cleanup and extended her appreciation for the Board's efforts to keep pace with the accelerated schedule. She also presented a status of site operations and provided SRS gold metrics (see attachment).

Dawn Taylor noted the EPA Administrator's support of accelerated cleanup, but noted his main concerns lie with the Paducah site. She also noted that the EPA budget should remain stable in FY04-05.

HLWlljhlwhlw

## **Public Comment**

Glynn Carrol, GANE, noted that it took a serious accident to demand some support from DOE for GADNR for monitoring and it is a good idea to continue support of this activity.

## **Chair Update**

Wade Waters expressed appreciation to various SRS CAB members and support personnel.

## **Facilitator Update**

Mike Schoener presented the Recommendation Summary Report (see attached). Twenty six recommendations are pending, eight open and 146 closed. The Board is awaiting one response from SCDHEC. Mr. Schoener also provided a listing of committee topics of discussion for 2004 and asked each CAB member to rank the list according to priority.

# Waste Management Committee

High Level Waste Tank Longevity versus Expected Lifetime

Bill Willoughby presented a draft motion regarding HLW tank longevity versus expected lifetime (see attached). Despite the recent setbacks to DOE's Waste Incidental to Reprocessing (WIR) process, the SRS CAB wants to see tangible, near-time progress made toward HLW tank closure and recommends that

- 1. SCDHEC brief the SRS CAB before the end of March 2004 to elaborate on their position discussed at the November 17, 2003, meeting and clarify how SRS can proceed to meet its closure dates of HLW Tank 18 (6/30/04) & Tank 19 (3/31/04) in the FFA.
- 2. DOE-HQ, DOE-SR and SCDHEC provide an update to resolve the issues surrounding waste removal in the HLW tanks by May 24, 2004.
- 3. By May 24, 2004, DOE-HQ, DOE-SR and SCDHEC provide an update regarding determination of an acceptable permit limit to begin disposition of salt waste through Saltstone in order to provide adequate HLW tank working space to prevent the slowdown or shutdown of DWPF operations.

- 4. DOE-HQ, DOE-SR and SCDHEC restart tank closures as close to the original date as possible
- 5. DOE-HQ brief the SRS CAB before the end of March 2004 on its position regarding the long-term operation and closure of the SRS HLW system.

Following minor modifications and discussion regarding suggested additions to the recommendation, Gerald Devitt moved the Board adopt the motion and Bill Lawless seconded the motion. The motion was adopted by a vote of 18 members in favor and one opposed.

# WIPP Permit New Mexico Environment Department-Initiated Modification

Bill Willoughby presented a second draft motion regarding the WIPP Permit New Mexico Environment Department-Initiated Modification (see attached). The motion requests a public hearing on the proposed permit modification and requested that NMED increase the SRS WIPP waste inventory to include the additional 5,775 cubic meters of TRU waste at SRS. It also asked that NMED provide a method for future TRU waste capacity to include TRU waste inventory from new DOE missions, such as the proposed MOX facility and asked SRS and SCDHEC to develop long term storage disposal alternatives for TRU waste that will remain at SRS if the permit modification by NMED is approved. Mary Drye moved the Board adopt the motion and Bill Lawless seconded. Following brief discussion regarding a fifth recommendation for DOE-SR to recalculate its baseline inventory report, the motion passed unanimously.

Perry Holcomb presented a letter to NMED regarding the WIPP permit modification (see attached). There was discussion regarding the committee's letter and the appropriateness of this letter. It was determined that this would be discussed as a process issue by the Executive Committee.

### **Glass Waste Storage Building #1 and #2 Long Term Impact**

Bill Lawless presented a draft motion on Glass Waste Storage Building #1 and #2 Long Term Impact (see attached) The motion recommended that by the end of April, 2004, DOE-SR assure the Board that adequate contingencies are in place and if not that they be enacted for GWSB #2 so that construction will not impact operations of the DWPF. It also requested that on or before September 28, 2004, DOE-SR describe the anticipated safety and environmental impacts assuming that institutional controls are lost; Yucca Mountain is not opened, and the anticipated canisters remain at SRS past the design life of the glass waste storage buildings. Gerald Devitt moved the Board adopt the motion and Bill Willoughby seconded The motion passed unanimously.

### Waste Disposition Update

Mr. Charlie Hansen, DOE, provided a Waste Disposition Update (see attached). He discussed a development of a revamped strategy for waste disposition, waste disposition status, and provided an update on the Salt Waste Processing Facility and Glass Waste Storage Building #2 project.

In November, SRS met with the Governor's Nuclear Advisory Council and SCDHEC to share information and discuss alternatives and agreed to basic goals and values for a revamped waste disposition strategy. Accelerated vitrification activities will continue as a top priority; a large Salt Waste Processing Facility will be the centerpiece of SRS's salt waste disposal strategy; tank closure activities will continue; and the overall goal is to maximize the amount of cesium and actinides disposed offsite while preserving accelerated risk reduction goals. DOE believes that amended legislation is necessary to fully implement the salt waste disposal and tank closure strategies.

The Defense Waste Processing Facility is operating well, said Hansen. Construction and modification of the 512-S Actinide Removal Process was completed in December; Saltstone Facility modifications were completed in September; and tank farm liquid waste inventory is below 36,000,000 gallons for the first time since February 2002.

Mr. Hansen provided an update on the Salt Waste Processing Facility, noting this facility will provide pre-treatment capability for salt waste, resulting in a Class A stream for disposal in the Saltstone Facility and a high level waste stream containing cesium and actinides for vitrification in DWPF and eventual disposal in Yucca Mountain. He discussed the DOE acquisition strategy for this project as well. Two contracts were awarded in September for competing designs and the selection of one contractor is anticipated shortly.

Mr. Hansen also discussed Glass Waste Storage Building #2, which is on schedule for completion by June, 2006.

## **CIF Focus Group Update**

Bill Willoughby provided a CIF Focus Group update and provided two letters regarding closure of this focus group (see attached).

# Strategic & Legacy Management Committee

Mel Galin noted the SRS Gold Metrics. A request was made to provide additional information to convert cubic meters to cans, drums or the appropriate shipping container. Mr. Galin also provided an update on historic preservation noting the Programmatic Agreement was available for public comments by February 6. He also noted various buildings and artifacts to be preserved and the potential for an SRS Visitor Center.

### **Facilities Disposition & Site Remediation Committee**

Perry Holcomb, Committee Chair, announced that the next committee meeting will be held February 10.

Paul Huber, WSRC, provided an update on Soil & Groundwater projects (see attached). Mr. Huber provided an FY03 overview noting that DOE has changed its cleanup approach from one of risk management to risk reduction that emphasizes earlier cleanup. He noted targets for cleanup and stated that 23 waste units of 46 targeted have been completed in FY03, and SRS completed the 300<sup>th</sup> of 515 waste units in the program.

Mr. Huber discussed planned activities for FY04 in which work will proceed on 79 active projects. He also provided details on the following projects:

- General Separations Area Consolidation Unit
- F/H Area Groundwater

- A/M Area Groundwater
- TNX Operable Unit
- P Area Reactor Seepage Basins
- L Area Hot Shop and Sandblast Area
- CMP Pits
- P Area Burning/Rubble Pit
- A Area Miscellaneous Rubble Pile
- Central Shops Burning Rubble Pit
- D Area Expanded Operable Unit

Perry Holcomb closed by noting the CAB recommendation on F&H Groundwater and DHEC allowance of the shutdown of the F&H groundwater treatment facility.

### **Public Comments**

Glynn Carroll, GANE, a 25-year old all volunteer organization commented that she is an artist and a friend bored her talking about nuclear power, which was followed shortly by Three Mile Island. She's personally been involved in nuclear issues for 15 years. She questioned the Salt Waste Processing Facility and asked if it replaced In Tank Precipitation and if it could ready salt solution for salt disposal and high level waste vitrification. DOE should have been familiar with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act and shouldn't have been surprised when they were hit with a lawsuit, she said. Ms. Carroll stated the failure of ITP went down over a six-year period and WSRC knew three years into it that it wouldn't work and covered up that fact. They have the option to litigate or legislate, she said. She asked the Board to please continue to put pressure on them. She urged SRS to keep DWPF going and to get another one built as well. She also promoted Ranowl Jzar as a candidate for the Board.

#### **Nuclear Materials Committee**

Gerald Devitt presented a draft motion that recommended DOE develop a realistic timeline and budget to complete deactivation of F-Canyon. It also asked DOE to move directly to begin the decommissioning process after deactivation has been completed and bypass the lengthy Post Deactivation phase and that DOE move forward to obtain the necessary approvals for DOE's end state decision to entomb in place F-Canyon and its current equipment. An additional recommendation was added that DOE report semiannually to the SRS CAB on progress made in accomplishing the above.

Bill Lawless moved the board adopt the motion and Bill Willoughby seconded. The motion passed unanimously.

### Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB) Presentation

Todd Davis, DNFSB Site Representative, provided a presentation on safe storage of plutonium at SRS (see attachment). He discussed the background of the DNFSB, which was Congressionally mandated in 1988 to provide independent safety oversight to defense nuclear facilities. Five Board members were appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate. The DNFSB has approximately 60 technical support staff. Mr. Davis discussed plutonium storage in the K-Area Material Storage Area, Building 235-F, FB Line and support facilities.

DNFSB staff reviews have focused on safety, structure, ventilation, fire protection, electrical and light protection, instrumentation and control, maintenance and worker protection. Reports to Congress have proposed expedited development of a complete, well-considered plan for disposition of all excess plutonium to preclude unnecessary extended storage. Regarding KAMS, the DNFSB recommended the installation of fire protection systems and the elimination of unnecessary combustibles. Additional comments were provided in a letter dated June 12, 2003. Regarding Building 235-F, the DNFSB recommended that DOE establish an acceptable safety basis for stabilization and packaging of plutonium and extended storage of plutonium. They recommended DOE conduct a systematic evaluation of the safety systems to determine needed upgrades and that the DOE perform a structural analysis. It was also recommended that unused process cells be decontaminated. The DNFSB report to Congress on FB Line concurred that the facility can safely complete its stabilization and packaging mission.

#### Administrative Committee Report

Administrative Committee Chair Lola Richardson conducted annual membership elections. The following individuals were elected to two-year terms in 2005-2005:

Cassandra Norman Henry, Denmark, S.C. Jean Sulc, St. Helena Island, S.C. Perry Holcomb, North Augusta, S.C. Carolyne Williams, Barnwell, S.C. Danielle Mackie, Grovetown, Ga. Karen Patterson, Aiken, S.C. William Lawrence, Aiken, S.C. Gloria Williams-Way, Augusta, Ga. Dorene Richardson, Williston, S.C. Bill Willoughby, Columbia, S.C. Barbara Morningstar Paul, Pelion, S.C.

Ms. Richardson also conducted committee chair elections. The following members were elected Committee Chairs for 2004:

Administrative Committee Facility Disposition & Site Remediation Committee Nuclear Materials Committee Strategic & Legacy Management Committee Waste Management Committee Meryl Alalof Perry Holcomb Gerald Devitt William Lawrence Bill Lawless

#### **Public Comments**

Glynn Carrol thanked Todd Davis for his presentation and asked if DNFSB had considered disposition. Todd Davis replied that the board is awaiting the Department's decision on disposition of plutonium. Ms. Carrol made a pitch for vitrification asking that the DNFSB consider vitrification for plutonium disposition.

#### Handouts

SRS CAB Agenda, January 26-27, 2004

Topics for Consideration, 2004, Alice Doswell, DOE

HLW Tank Longevity versus Expected Lifetime Draft, Bill Willoughby, SRS CAB

WIPP Permit NMED-Initiated Modification Draft, Bill Willoughby, SRS CAB

State of New Mexico Environment Department, dated November 26, 2003

WIPP Permit Modification, Sonny Goldston, WSRC

DOE Sets Public Meeting on Permit Changes, January 15, 2004

Glass Waste Storage Building #1 and #2 Long Term Impact Draft, Bill Lawless, SRS CAB

Solid Waste & Infrastructure, Sam Kelly, BNFL

BJWSA Press Release, dated December 5, 2003, Jennifer Barrington, SRS CAB

FY04 Expected D&D Deployments, Alice Doswell, DOE

F Canyon Deactivation & Post Deactivation Draft, Gerald Devitt, SRS CAB

SRS Gold Metrics

SRS CAB Recommendation Summary

Topics for Consideration, 2004

HLW Tank Longevity versus Expected Lifetime Final Draft, Bill Willoughby, SRS CAB

WIPP Permit NMED-Initiated Modification Final Draft, Bill Willoughby, SRS CAB

Glass Waste Storage Building #1 and #2 Long Term Impact Final Draft, Bill Lawless, SRS CAB

Waste Disposition Update, Charles Hansen, DOE

Legacy Waste Treatment Update, Sonny Goldston, WSRC

Letter to Jeff Allison, dated January 18, 2004, CIF Progress Report

Letter to Wade Waters, date January 19, 2004, CIF Focus Group Closure

SRS Risk-Based End State Vision, Mike Nelson, WSRC

F Canyon Deactivation & Post Deactivation Final Draft, Gerald Devitt, SRS CAB

DNFSB Report, Plutonium Storage at the Savannah River Site, Todd Davis, DNFSB

SRS Soil & Groundwater Closure Projects, Paul Huber, WSRC

Letter to Mr. Steve Zappe, dated January 20, 2004, Public Comments

SRS CAB Calendar