



SRS Citizen's Advisory Board

SRS Citizens Advisory Board Meeting Minutes

Hilton Hotel, Hilton Head Island, SC

January 24-25, 2005

Monday, January 24, 2005, Attendance

SRS CAB Members

Meryl Alalof
Donna Antonucci
Leon Chavous
Gerald Devitt
Mary Drye
Mel Galin
Cassandra Henry
Perry Holcomb
Bill Lawless

Stakeholders

John Pickett
Tracey Carroll
Ranowul Jzar
Gary Zimmerman
Ellie Galin
Manuel Bettencourt
Michael Kruz
Janet Wedlock
Joe Whetstone
Allyn Schneider
Dan Battleson
Roy Norman
Edna Devitt
Wendell Lyon
Danielle Mackie
Robert Meisenheimer
Karen Patterson
Barbara Paul
Dorene Richardson
Murray Riley
Jean Sulc
Bill Voegel

DOE/Contractors

Doug Hinze, DOE
George Klipa, DOE
Becky Craft, DOE
Gerri Flemming, DOE
Frank England, WSRC
Mark Mahoney, WSRC
Tricia Allen, WSRC
David Burke, WSRC
John Dickenson, WSRC
Teresa Haas, WSRC
Sonny Goldston, WSRC
Joe Carter, WSRC
Lyddie Broussard, WSRC
Dawn Haygood, WSRC
Paul Sauerborn, WSRC
Jim Moore, WSRC

Ex-Officio Members

William Spader, DOE
Shelley Sherritt, SCDHEC
Robert Pope, EPA

A special session regarding the Savannah River Site (SRS) Citizens Advisory Board (CAB) 2005 Workplan was held from 1-3 p.m. Mr. William Spader, DOE, presented topics for consideration in 2005 (see attachment). The committees then held breakout sessions to determine each committee's area of interest and offer three to four topics for consideration by the full board for prioritization ranking the following day.

Waste Management (WM) Committee Report

Bill Lawless, WM Chair, mentioned that there were two motions to be reviewed following the presentation regarding liquid waste stabilization and disposition. He stated that if the two recommendations are approved, then recommendations #174, 181, 186 and 191 could be closed.

Radioactive Liquid Tank Waste Stabilization and Disposition Project Review:

Doug Hintze, DOE, reviewed the status of the Radioactive Liquid Tank Waste Stabilization and Disposition Project, to include a brief description of the National Defense Authorization Act

(NDAA) Section 3116. The NDAA for fiscal year (FY) 2005 was signed into law by the President on October 28, 2004. Section 3116 provides the Secretary of Energy in consultation with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the authority to determine that certain waste does not require disposal in a geologic repository as HLW.

Low curie salt is planned to go to Saltstone while the sludge in the HLW tanks will go to the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF). The Salt Processing work scope treats and disposes of approximately 33 million gallons of supernate and saltcake (approximately 84 million gallons when disposed and adjusted for processing) by 2019. SRS needs to start the Salt Processing by October 1 with critical decision 2 on the Salt Waste Processing Facility approved by May 2005.

Mr. Hintze reviewed the various types of tanks available at the Savannah River Site. Pictures of the 3/8 inch steel plate at the bottom of one of the two closed tanks (Tank 20) were viewed to emphasize the small amount of residual left in the tank. Mr. Hintze reviewed how the tanks were filled with three-tiered engineered grout at closure.

Karen Patterson, the motion manager on the 3116 Implementation - HLW Tanks motion, led the discussion on the motion (see attachment). Board member comments were incorporated in the motion.

Murray Riley, the motion manager on the 3116 Implementation - Salt Waste Processing motion, led the discussion on the motion (see attachment). Board member comments were noted for incorporation in the motion.

Public Comments

The following candidates introduced themselves and provided brief remarks: Tracey Carroll, Ranowul Jzar, Ellie Galin, and John Pickett.

Janet Wedlock, Sierra Club

Ms. Wedlock submitted written comments on behalf of Georgians Against Nuclear Energy or GANE (see attachment). She stated that GANE's overarching concern is that the Mixed Oxide Fuel Final Environmental Impact Statement is being published before the MOX operating license has even been submitted to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in addition to other deficiencies in the EIS outlined in the written statement.

Joe Whetstone, Bluffton, S.C.

Mr. Whetstone stated that one of the seven SRS CAB "statement of principles" is "Cleanup, stabilization, monitoring and stewardship are vital to ensure the health and safety of future generations and the protection of their environment." He focused on the word monitoring. Mr. Whetstone stated he spoke with Jim Hardeman of the Georgia Department of Natural Resources that morning to determine the status of funding his department receives from the federal government to monitor the discharges into the environment from the Savannah River Site. He said that Mr. Hardeman said as of January 1, 2005, his department receives no more funding to monitor waste coming from SRS. In fact, Jim said Georgia DNR has taken such a step backwards due to the funding cuts by the federal government that their environmental monitoring capabilities are less than they were in 1993.

Mr. Whetstone commented that considering the tritium extraction facility is almost complete and with the other activities taking place at SRS, the CAB should make a recommendation that DOE restore funds to the Georgia Department of Natural Resources immediately. Given the past history of the inability of SRS to keep dangerous waste such as tritium on the 310 square mile facility, a recommendation by the CAB to restore funding to the Georgia Department of Natural Resources would indicate the CAB is carrying out one of the missions it was designed for.

Perry Holcomb, SRS CAB Member

Mr. Holcomb commented on the crossover between the NNSA and EM parts of DOE. He stated the CAB is chartered by EM and has nothing to do with MOX, but asked the CAB to consider asking DOE if it could be active in any program in which EPA and SCDHEC are concerned and involved.

The meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.

Tuesday, January 25, 2005, Attendance

SRS CAB Members

Meryl Alalof
Donna Antonucci
Leon Chavous
Gerald Devitt
Mary Drye
Mel Galin
Cassandra Henry
Perry Holcomb
Bill Lawless
William Lawrence

Regulators

Patrick Green, SCDHEC
Kim Newell, SCDHEC

Stakeholders

Manuel Bettencourt
Jimmy Mackey
Wade Waters
John Pickett
Ranowul Jzar
George Minot
Gary Zimmerman
Tracey Carroll
Ellie Galin
Roy Norman
John Peterson
Don Kantor
Dan Battleson
Janet Wedlock
Joe Whetstone
Louis Zeller
Jessica Flathman
Wendell Lyon
Danielle Mackie
Robert Meisenheimer
Karen Patterson
Barbara Paul
Dorene Richardson
Murray Riley
Jean Sulc
Bill Voge
Gloria Williams-Way

DOE/Contractors

Becky Craft, DOE
George Klipa, DOE
Gerri Flemming, DOE
Helen Belencan, DOE
Harold Conner, WSRC
Mark Mahoney, WSRC
Teresa Haas, WSRC
Sonny Goldston, WSRC
Joe Carter, WSRC
Lyddie Broussard, WSRC
Dawn Haygood, WSRC
Paul Sauerborn, WSRC
David Burke, WSRC
John Dickenson, WSRC
Dave Freeman, WSRC
Palmer Bowen, USFS
Jim Moore, WSRC

Ex-Officio Members

William Spader, DOE
Shelley Sheritt, SCDHEC
Robert Pope, EPA
Rick Caldwell, SCDHEC

SRS CAB members Darryl Nettles,Carolyn Williams and Bill Willoughby were unable to attend. The meeting opened with William Spader, DOE, serving as Designated Federal Official. Mike Schoener served as facilitator and Rick McLeod, Board Technical Advisor, was present on both days as well. The meeting was open to the public and posted in the Federal Register in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Outgoing Member Recognition

William Spader, DOE, presented recognition awards to Mel Galin, Murray Riley and Bill Vogeles for six years of service to the SRS Citizens Advisory Board. He also recognized Darryl Nettles for two years of services to the Board.

Approval of the Minutes

The meeting minutes of the November 15-16, 2004, meeting were approved with minor changes.

Agency Update

William Spader, DOE, noted that the confirmation hearing for Secretary Samuel Bodman was scheduled with the full Senate on January 26, 2005, and provided some brief background on Mr. Bodman. Mr. Spader discussed the FY 2005 budget noting the President had signed the consolidated appropriation act. He noted the FY05 budget was up by \$64 million compared to 04, but was still \$60 million short of the request.

Mr. Spader also presented updated gold metrics with highlights and challenges. He noted the site is working with the regulators on Appendix E of the Federal Facility Agreement and also noted that the End State Vision document would be put back out for public comment in March for a 45-day review. A workshop will be held in March and the End State vision document will be finalized by May 2005. Mr. Spader also commented on the SRS response to the train accident in Graniteville; provided an SRS Community Relations Plan update; and asked Teresa Haas of Westinghouse Savannah River Company to address workforce restructuring. Ms. Haas stated that WSRC has requested Workforce Restructuring Authority for up to 1200 employees this fiscal year and 800 in FY2006. She stated that with F Area well on its way to achieving demolition by FY06, D&D efforts, and as projects reach completion, WSRC has an obligation to reduce support. A business case plan that defines current and future planned workscope with skill needs and staffing levels required will be available to employees and on the website once approved by DOE. WSRC asked for a severance package, including 60 days pay and one week pay for years of service capped at 26. A self select option will be available.

Robert Pope, EPA, commented that EPA is trying to look at initiatives with DOE from a national basis and maintain consistency among all the sites. EPA is having more contact with other regions. He stated EPA is keeping an eye on HLW tanks and decisions in Washington. EPA was involved in the End State Vision document and voiced opinions, he said. EPA representatives met with Paul Golan and D&D was the big focus. Mr. Pope commented on the new area remediation philosophy and area completions. He said many lessons were learned at T area and improvements identified for M Area, the next area completion. He said the T Area Proposed Plan due out this spring will give stakeholders an idea of future remediation at SRS. He encouraged

the board to continue working with the Integrator Operable Unit Program and noting that area cleanups will eventually play into IOU cleanup.

Shelley Sherritt, SCDHEC introduced Rick Caldwell, District Director for the Edisto Office. She commented that the cleanup workscope in Appendix E has not yet been agreed upon. She also noted that SCDHEC is playing close attention to both transuranic and high level waste issues. Both are areas of tremendous challenge. She commented that back in the 90s, she never would have thought SRS would be as far along as is today with shipments of transuranic waste to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. Ms. Sherritt noted that SCDHEC is at the same place as the CAB with questions on 3116 and will continue to follow the issue closely. She stated the issue of shipments from Hanford to SRS has been on SCDHEC's radar screen and SCDHEC did not pursue any enforcement action on past shipments. She said there is a difference in interpretations between the states of Washington and South Carolina on sample residues. She said SCDHEC does not want to change the Washington interpretation, but does want to see if there is a shipment that is acceptable.

Public Comments

The following candidates for membership provided introductions and brief background: Manuel Bettencourt, John Pickett, Tracy Carroll, Ranowul Jzar, Jimmy Mackey, Ellie Galin, and Don Kantor.

Joe Whetstone, Bluffton, S.C.

Mr. Whetstone stated that the IEER had published a paper about what DOE knows and doesn't know about grout. Mr. Whetstone read a written statement made by Bryce Smith of the IEER (see attachment), which was provided to all CAB members.

Gary Zimmerman

Mr. Zimmerman encouraged the CAB to try and get permission to talk about all nuclear waste issues at SRS, but not to be so assertive as to get shutdown.

Lou Zeller- Blue Ridge Environmental Defense League (BREDL)

Mr. Zeller commented on air emissions. He said SRS must comply with emission standards under NESHAP. He stated that there are alternative means for meeting ambient air standards. He stated the Title 5 permit is unenforceable as a practical matter and BREDL has filed a petition with EPA Region 4. He said he was bringing this to the Board's attention since there are ongoing plans to permit the plutonium fuel factory.

Chair Update

Jean Sulc announced that the Site Specific Advisory Board Chairs Meeting will be held in Augusta, Ga. April 27-29. She also announced that several SRS CAB members would participate in a tour of Yucca Mountain in early March and any interested CAB members should let Dawn Haygood know if they wanted to attend.

Facilitator Update

Mike Schoener presented the Recommendation Summary Report (see attached). Ten recommendations are pending, 28 open and 165 closed. Mr. Schoener also provided a listing of

committee topics of discussion for 2005 and asked each CAB member to rank the list according to priority.

Waste Management Committee

Karen Patterson presented a draft motion regarding 3116 Implementation for High Level Waste (see attachment). Section 3116 of the National Defense Authorization Act provides the Secretary of Energy, in consultation with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, the authority to determine that certain waste does not require disposal in a geologic repository as high level waste. Section 3116 gives the Department of Energy the authority to reclassify high-level waste in the SRS tanks as not requiring geologic repository disposal providing an exception to the Nuclear Waste Policy Act and allowing waste to remain in the tanks encased in a cement/grout mixture.

The next two tanks scheduled to be closed at SRS are Tank 19 by October 31, 2006 and Tank 18 by February 28, 2007. These closures along with the 1F Evaporator will conclude the first "4-pack" closure of tanks at SRS. The SRS CAB believes that with some thought, planning, work, and especially coordination among the regulators during the closure process, some type of standardization would contribute to more effectively meeting the overall tank closure timeline.

The draft motion recommended that DOE-SR and SCDHEC provide a formal timeline and a more descriptive narrative of the roles and responsibilities of all of the agencies involved in the Section 3116 implementation. It also recommended that DOE-SR and SCDHEC involve stakeholders in the Section 3116 implementation process as early and as often as possible for any documentation transmitted between agencies (DOE, NRC, NAS, SCDHEC, etc.) and not wait until formal public comment periods. It asked DOE-SR to provide briefings on the technical aspects of closing the 1F evaporator as well as the performance assessment for the HLW tank closure, including any recalculations and justifications associated with the "4 pack" concept and requested all of the above items by March 29, 2005. It further recommended that DOE-SR work toward a standardized process for future individual HLW tanks closures and that DOE-SR investigate and implement a uniform concept for taking the initial "4 pack" and future "multi-packs" out of tank farm area closure directly into individual "multi-pack" closure. The motion was modified to read DOE-SR working with SCDHEC versus making the recommendation directly to SCDHEC.

Following minor discussion, Bill Vogeles moved the board adopt the motion and Mary Drye seconded. The motion was adopted by a unanimous vote of twenty members in favor.

Murray Riley presented the committee's draft motion on 3116 Implementation - Salt Waste Processing (see attachment). The SRS CAB is anxiously waiting to see a formal timeline from DOE-EM to accomplish Section 3116 associated activities with the salt waste treatment and disposition program and a descriptive narrative of the roles and responsibilities of all of the agencies involved in the process. Just as with 3116 implementation regarding high level waste, this motion repeated recommendations regarding public involvement and asked that DOE-HQ assure adequate funding is available, without impacting other SRS programs, to implement the Modified Salt Waste Processing Facility per following the timeline:

February 2005 - Draft Salt Waste Processing Waste Determination Document

February 2005 - Signed MOU between DOE and NRC
March 2005 - Distribute Salt Waste Processing Document for Public Review/Comment
August 2005 - Receive NRC comments on Waste Determination Document
September 2005 - DOE Revise Waste Determination Document per NRC Comments
September 2005 - SCDHEC Issues Permit Decision for Saltstone Disposal Facility
October 2005 - Signed Agreement & Permits and LCS Process Begins

This timeline is very aggressive and the SRS CAB is skeptical that it can be met and would like to see DOE's backup plan and the impacts associated with a schedule slippage. The SRS CAB is also concerned that all phases of the process could be delayed or slowed down by DOE-HQ legal and other reviews delaying public review and comment. Any slow down could jeopardize the Salt Waste Processing start-up date of October 2005.

The motion further recommended that DOE-SR accelerate the design and construction of the proposed Salt Waste Processing Facility to replace the Actinide Removal Process and Modular Caustic Side Solvent Extraction treatment processes and brief the SRS CAB by April, 2005. The motion asked that SCDHEC conduct its review on the Saltstone Disposal Facility in parallel with the DOE and NRC schedule for the concurrence of the waste determination document. It also asked that by March 29, 2005, DOE-SR provide the anticipated scope of work for a National Academy of Sciences (NAS) study per Section 3146 related to the salt waste processing program. The study requires the Secretary of Energy to enter into an agreement with the National Research Council of the NAS to "carry out a study of the plans for the Department of Energy to manage waste, from reprocessed spent nuclear fuel, which exceeds the concentration limits for Class C low-level waste." It is the Board's understanding that all associated waste in the salt waste processing program will not exceed Class C. Therefore, a study by NAS may not be required; however, the CAB has questions regarding the role of NAS in salt waste processing program.

Following minor discussion, William Lawrence moved the Board adopt the motion and Leon Chavous seconded. This motion was also adopted unanimously.

Solid Waste and Infrastructure

Sam Kelly, BNFL, provided a presentation on Solid Waste & Infrastructure FY04 successes and FY05 challenges (see attachment). Mr. Kelly provided an overview of FY04 highlights, notably 1.3 million manhours without a lost time injury and accelerated TRU drum shipments to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant. SRS has shipped 7,170 TRU drums in 239 shipments to WIPP. SRS remediated 2500 drums and retrieved 4,768 drums from culvert overpacks for characterization. Remaining TRU Program challenges in FY05 include new non-destructive assay system, release from transportation requirements and uncertainty from high activity levels from Pu238. Mr. Kelly discussed accomplishments in Low Level Waste performance, Hazardous Waste and Mixed Waste, Sanitary Waste and Pollution Prevention. Decommissioning accomplishments include the transition of occupants and functions from 43 facilities, isolation of over 100 buildings and actual demolition of 60 buildings. This was accomplished with zero injuries, not even a First Aid Case.

Facility Disposition & Site Remediation Committee

Perry Holcomb noted the vast amount of work to be completed at SRS while acknowledging the amount of work already completed. He introduced Helen Belencan, DOE, who provided a Deactivation & Decommissioning Program Update (see attached). Ms. Belencan noted the D&D briefings to date and discussed the D&D process and the graded approach to decommissioning, including D&D accomplishments. She discussed the planned D&D scope through 2006, which includes 253 facilities in 14 areas. The goal for D&D was 82 completions by FY04 with 107 actual completions by September 30, 2004. She showed aerial photographs of various areas demonstrating D&D activities in the area and provided video footage of the demolition of the 421-D Finishing building. Ms. Belencan showed numerous photos of buildings planned for D&D activities in the next year and described the uses of each of the facilities prior to D&D activities. Board members commented on the remaining concrete pads following D&D, entombment, and the need to remove asphalt on wetlands.

Public Comments

Joe Whetstone, Bluffton, S.C.

Mr. Whetstone thanked the CAB for one of few opportunities the public gets to participate in DOE activities. He stated the Tritium Extraction Facility (TEF) is a \$500 million mistake. Our ability to destroy a city with one bomb- seems to be enough, he said. TEF is another opportunity for tritium to make it into our water system. The 500 million dollar Tritium Extraction Facility at SRS is almost complete, he said. This facility will be used to extract tritium from fuel rods that have been shipped to South Carolina after having been irradiated in commercial power reactors at Tennessee Valley Authority facilities in Tennessee. The last reactor to produce tritium at SRS was closed in 1988 and since that time the DOE has recycled tritium from existing weapons in order to meet their perceived needs. Mr. Whetstone stated that DOE fears our stockpile of "hydrogen" bombs will have lost their punch if the tritium gas in them is not refreshed. This is another example of an outrageous expenditure of tax dollars by DOE and another "gift" to their contractors.

Mr. Whetstone stated that most of us have seen pictures of the devastation inflicted on Hiroshima and Nagasaki by the atomic bombs that were dropped on these cities. The "hydrogen" bombs that DOE wants to maintain have from 100 to 1,000 times the destructive power of the atomic bombs that were dropped on these cities. He asked do we really need to produce tritium in order to continue maintaining weapons that can cause such annihilation? Did we not learn that the power of the atom bomb was more power than was needed? Isn't our ability to destroy a city and kill tens of thousands of people at one time more than is needed? "We already know it's not easy to corral tritium. That's one of the reasons it shows up in the bodies of water near nuclear power plants. We also know that SRS has released millions of curies of tritium into the environment at SRS. SRS is one of the major sources of the tritium delivered to many customers of the Beaufort Jasper Water and Sewer Authority in South Carolina. Once SRS starts extracting tritium from the radioactive rods, can we not expect to see even more tritium in the drinking water?"

Mr. Whetstone stated that installing an expensive state of the art reverse osmosis water filtration system in your home will not remove tritium. There is currently no practical way to remove tritium once it reaches the water supply. The bottom line from a health and safety perspective is that DOE and SRS need to concentrate on spending our tax dollars on cleaning up the mess they

have made at SRS, not creating more.

Nuclear Materials Committee

George Klipa, DOE, provided a presentation on the Proposed Redirection of the 3013 Container Surveillance and Storage Capability (CSSC) Project, 235-F (see attachment). The objective of the presentation was to update the CAB on the status of the CSSC project. The three 3013 CSSC missions are Container Surveillance, Plutonium Stabilization and Packaging, and Expanded Storage Capacity. Mr. Klipa described the 3013 container surveillance and storage capability in Building 235-F and discussed the major components of the planned program before he discussed what was going to change. There are new 2004 Design Basis Threat Guidance requirements concerning the security posture at DOE sites to improve the protection of materials in DOE facilities. The guidance requests DOE sites to consolidate the number of storage facilities that require protection resulting in significant cost savings as well as cost avoidance. The SR proposal is to de-inventory 235-F Building of its nuclear material inventory by September 2006 and maintain it as a category II storage facility and K Area Materials Storage Building will be used for full surveillance, stabilization, packaging and storage capability as a category I facility. 235-F operations will be terminated once the capability is available in K Area. There are funding issues and Congressional approval is needed before design modifications in K Area can begin.

Strategic & Legacy Management Committee

Tom McCarthy, WSRC, provided a presentation on SRS Records Administration (see attachment). The object of records management is to develop and implement a program to identify and protect those documents vital to the Site. At the same time, this program must meet all Federal and State regulations governing the storage, protection and disposal of records. Retrievability is the central focus and there are legal implications. There is a balance between historical artifacts and records. Mr. McCarthy discussed the business and legal drivers of records management; the SRS Records Administration organization and recognition received. On site records storage is equal to more than 30,000 cubic ft of hardcopy records and terabytes of electronic files. The majority of these records are unclassified. Electronic files are backed up by robust data-protection systems at SRS; hardcopy records are protected at some of the best facilities in the U.S. Offsite storage is used to accommodate overflow and protect important records (e.g. personnel, medical, waste-characterization documentation). The Federal Records Center in Atlanta, Ga. and the Veterans Administration Records Center in Neosho, Missouri are used for offsite storage.

Mr. McCarthy described how artifacts differ from records. An artifact is the media/format of the content and a record is the content. He discussed the critical role of records administration in decommissioning efforts. Mr. McCarthy discussed how records ownership is a legal issue and permanent records belong to National Archives and Records Administration. Board members questioned technology advances in software and how that issue is handled; retrievability; redundant storage; and plans for records storage beyond 2025 when sites are closed.

Administrative Committee Report

Administrative Committee Chair Meryl Alalof conducted annual membership elections. The following individuals were elected to two-year terms in 2005-2006:

Meryl Alalof, Martinez, Ga.
Donna Antonucci, Savannah, Ga.
Mary Drye, Martinez, Ga.
Leon Chavous, Orangeburg, S.C.
Bill Lawless, Augusta, Ga.
Wendell Lyon, Waynesboro, Ga.
Gerald Devitt, Aiken, S.C.
Jimmy Mackey, Beaufort, S.C.
Tracey Carroll, Aiken, S.C.
Ranowul Jzar, Savannah, Ga.
Joseph Ortaldo, Aiken, S.C.
Arthur Domby, Atlanta, Ga.
Manuel Bettencourt, Hilton Head, S.C.

Ms. Alalof also conducted committee chair elections. The following members were elected Committee Chairs for 2005:

Administrative Committee, Meryl Alalof
Facility Disposition & Site Remediation Committee, Perry Holcomb
Nuclear Materials Committee, Gerald Devitt
Strategic & Legacy Management Committee, William Lawrence
Waste Management Committee, Bob Meisenheimer

Public Comments

Gary Zimmerman commented that some people are more visually oriented versus mathematical. He suggested examples be provided during presentations to better represent volumes.

Handouts

SRS CAB January 24-25, 2005 Agenda

Topics for Consideration 2005, William Spader, DOE

3116 Implementation-High Level Waste, January 24, 2005 draft, Karen Patterson

3116 Implementation-Salt Waste Processing, January 24, 2005 draft, Murray Riley

SRS Gold Metrics

SR CAB Recommendation Summary Report

3116 Implementation-High Level Waste, January 24, 2005 Final Draft, Karen Patterson

3116 Implementation-Salt Waste Processing, January 24, 2005 Final Draft, Murray Riley

Solid Waste & Infrastructure, Sam Kelly, BNFL

Deactivation & Decommissioning Program Update, Helen Belencan, DOE

Proposed Redirection of the 3013 Container Surveillance and Storage Capability Project, George Klipa, DOE

SRS Records Administration Helps Keep Site History Alive, Tom McCarthy, WSRC

Letter from SCDHEC to Jean Sulc, SRS CAB, dated January 20, 2005

SRS CAB Calendar

NEPA EIS Report